

A CLASS OF LEFT QUANTUM GROUPS MODELED AFTER $SL_q(n)$

AARON LAUVE AND EARL J. TAFT

ABSTRACT. For each $n \geq 2$, we construct a left quantum group, i.e., a left Hopf algebra $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$ generated by comatrix units X_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, which has a left antipode but no right antipode. The quantum special linear group $SL_q(n)$ is a homomorphic image of $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$.

NOTATION

We collect a few standard and non-standard notations:

Let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let $[n]^k$ denote the set of k -tuples chosen from $[n]$. Given a k -tuple $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, let $\ell(I)$ denote its *length*, i.e., the least number of adjacent interchanges necessary to put the elements of I in non-decreasing order. Define ℓ on permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ via the standard one line notation, i.e., $\ell(\pi) = \ell(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(k))$; also, denote $\pi(k)$ by π_k .

For an n -tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, say $I \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ if the elements $i_1, \dots, i_n$ are distinct, and $I \notin \mathfrak{S}_n$ otherwise. We use the *membership* Kronecker delta function $\delta_{I, \mathfrak{S}_n}$ to distinguish the two cases, taking value one in the former case and zero in the latter case.

Throughout, $\mathbb{k}$ will denote a field containing a distinguished invertible element q . For any $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space V , we let $\mathbb{I}$ denote the identity mapping on V ; similarly, given a $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra R , we let $\mathbb{I}_n$ be the identity matrix in $M_n(R)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B be a bialgebra over $\mathbb{k}$. B has an associative multiplication $m : B \otimes B \rightarrow B$, a unit element $\mu : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow B$, a coassociative comultiplication $\Delta : B \rightarrow B \otimes B$, and a counit $\varepsilon : B \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ such that Δ and ε are $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra maps. The $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps $\text{Hom}(B, B)$ form a monoid with respect to the convolution product $f * g = m(f \otimes g)\Delta$ and the unit element $\mu\varepsilon$.

A bialgebra H is a Hopf algebra if the identity map $\mathbb{I} \in \text{Hom}(H, H)$ is invertible. That is, if there is an *antipode* $S \in \text{Hom}(H, H)$ satisfying $S * \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I} * S = \mu\varepsilon$ as functions on H . Explicitly, if $\Delta h = \sum_i h_i \otimes h'_i$, S must satisfy

$$(\forall h \in H) : \sum_i S(h_i)h'_i = \varepsilon(h)1 = \sum_i h_i S(h'_i).$$

Such an S is unique, and is an algebra and coalgebra antimorphism of H .

A bialgebra H is called a *left Hopf algebra* if there is an S in $\text{Hom}(H, H)$ such that $S * \mathbb{I} = \mu\varepsilon$; such an S is called a *left antipode* of H . If S is not also a right antipode, i.e. $\mathbb{I} * S \neq \mu\varepsilon$, then H will have an infinite number of left antipodes [5].

Date: 13 March 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W30, 16W35, 20G42.

Key words and phrases. quantum group, one-sided Hopf algebra.

The first examples of left Hopf algebras which are not Hopf algebras were constructed in [4]. These were free left Hopf algebras on the coalgebras of $n \times n$ comatrices for $n \geq 2$. The specific left antipode constructed was both an algebra and a coalgebra antimorphism.

A variation of the examples of [4] was given in [6]. Both constructions begin by defining S on a set of algebra generators. The key change is that one extends S to the whole algebra not by assuming an algebra antimorphism property, but by defining it directly on a basis for the algebra. One obtains a basis of irreducible words in the generators, i.e., one orders the words (monomials) in the generators and uses the Diamond Lemma [1] to obtain a basis of irreducible words. Then one defines S on an irreducible word by reversing its order on the generators. Roughly speaking, S is “locally” an algebra antimorphism, but not “globally.” For the examples in [6], it turns out that no left antipode is an algebra antimorphism (nor a coalgebra antimorphism).

With the current interest in quantum groups, it would be of interest to construct a one-sided quantum group, say a left quantum group which is not a right quantum group; e.g., a left Hopf algebra generated by comatrix units X_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$, which satisfies some relations connected to those of a known (two-sided) quantum group, but which is not a (two-sided) Hopf algebra. We hope that this would be of interest in quantum physics. We now indicate one possible connection, namely the *boson-fermion correspondence*.

The first attempt to construct a one-sided quantum group was in [7]. There one started with 3 of the 6 relations for a 2×2 quantum matrix X , namely

$$(\dagger) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} X_{21}X_{11} &= qX_{11}X_{21} \\ X_{22}X_{12} &= qX_{12}X_{22} \\ X_{22}X_{11} &= X_{11}X_{22} - q^{-1}X_{21}X_{12} + qX_{12}X_{21} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

(see relations (1)-(3) of [7]). In [7], requiring the left antipode S to be an algebra antimorphism necessitated additional relations, and the result was a two-sided antipode. Thus while the result was a new quantum group, it was not an example of a one-sided quantum group.

The relations $(\dagger)$ have been generalized in [3] to $r \times r$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ by making every 2×2 submatrix of A satisfy $(\dagger)$. The resulting algebra is called the right quantum algebra and A is called a right quantum matrix. This one-sided quantum setting is sufficient to prove the main Theorem 1 of [3], a *quantum* boson-fermion correspondence.

Write $\text{Bos}(A) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r} G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$, where $G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is the coefficient of $x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_r^{m_r}$ in the product $X_1^{m_1} \cdots X_r^{m_r}$ (taking $X_i := \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}x_j$ and the x_i 's to be commuting variables which commute with the a_{jk} 's). Write $\text{Ferm}(A) = \sum_{J \subseteq [r]} (-1)^{|J|} \det_q A_J$, where A_J is the $J \times J$ submatrix of A and $\det_q A_J$ is the usual quantum determinant of quantum group theory. Theorem 1 of [3] states that $\text{Bos}(A) = 1/\text{Ferm}(A)$. Another proof appears in [2].

When $q = 1$ and A has commuting entries, $\sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_r = n} G(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is the trace of $S^n(A)$, the n -th symmetric power of A , and $\det(I - tA) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^n \text{tr } \Lambda^n(A) t^n$, where Λ^n is the n -th exterior power of A . The boson-fermion correspondence states that $\sum_n \text{tr } S^n(A) t^n$ and $\sum_n (-1)^n \text{tr } \Lambda^n(A)$ are inverses of each other. Thus the main result of [3] is a quantum generalization of the boson-fermion correspondence.

In [8], the third relation of $(\dagger)$ was split into two relations, each setting a version of the quantum determinant equal to one. A basis of irreducible words was obtained, and the ideas of [6] were used to obtain a left (but not right) quantum group. This construction is reviewed in Section 2. Here, we generalize the construction in [8] to produce a left

quantum group $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$ for all $n \geq 2$. The result for $n > 2$ is an algebra which possesses $SL_q(n)$ as a quotient, but does not satisfy any of the standard quadratic relations of $SL_q(n)$. Rather, there are n^n relations defining $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$: $n!$ of them are of the form saying a certain version of the quantum determinant of X is equal to one; the other $n^n - n!$ relations are homogeneous of degree n . As for $n = 2$, we define the left antipode on generators using a quantum adjoint matrix, then extend S to $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$ using the idea of [6].

2. THE CASE $n = 2$

We review some elements of the construction in [8]. Consider the bialgebra $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle = \mathbb{k}\langle X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22} \rangle$ with $\Delta X_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^2 X_{ik} \otimes X_{kj}$ and $\varepsilon(X_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Ordering the X_{ij} lexicographically on (i, j) , we impose four relations, viewed as reduction formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & X_{21}X_{11} = qX_{11}X_{21} \\ (2) \quad & X_{22}X_{12} = qX_{12}X_{22} \\ (3) \quad & X_{22}X_{11} = qX_{12}X_{21} + 1 \\ (4) \quad & X_{21}X_{12} = qX_{11}X_{22} - q1 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Diamond Lemma, one gets a basis for $\tilde{SL}_q(2)$ comprising irreducible words which behave nicely under comultiplication—our “property $(\star)$ ” in Section 4. From here it is straightforward to define a left but not a right antipode S . As happens with the examples in [6], $\tilde{SL}_q(2)$ has the property that no left antipode is an algebra antimorphism. Nor is the given S a coalgebra antimorphism (e.g., the condition fails on X_{11}^2).

Relations (1)–(4) hold in $SL_q(2)$, and account for roughly half the number of relations necessary to define it. One might expect a similar phenomenon for $n > 2$, i.e. that roughly half the relations of $SL_q(n)$ are needed to build a one-sided Hopf algebra. This approach does not seem to work.

The key for generalizing to $n > 2$ lies in a certain $\tilde{SL}_q(2)$ -comodule. Consider the *quantum exterior plane* $\Lambda_q(2)$, the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with generators ξ_1, ξ_2 and relations $\xi_i \xi_i = 0$ and $\xi_2 \xi_1 = -q^{-1} \xi_1 \xi_2$. In [8] it is shown that $\Lambda_q(2)$ is a right $\tilde{SL}_q(2)$ -comodule algebra under the mapping $\rho(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1,2} \xi_j \otimes X_{ji}$. In fact, this *explains* the defining relations for $\tilde{SL}_q(2)$. To illustrate, consider the action of ρ on $\xi_1 \xi_1$. On the one hand it must be zero (since $\xi_1 \xi_1$ is zero). On the other hand, it is the product $\rho(\xi_1)\rho(\xi_1) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \xi_i \xi_j \otimes X_{i1}X_{j1} = \xi_1 \xi_2 \otimes (X_{11}X_{21} + (-q^{-1})X_{21}X_{11})$, from which we deduce

$$(1') \quad X_{11}X_{21} - q^{-1}X_{21}X_{11} = 0.$$

Applying ρ to the other monomials of degree two yields

$$\begin{aligned} (2') \quad & X_{12}X_{22} - q^{-1}X_{22}X_{12} = 0 \\ (3') \quad & X_{12}X_{21} - q^{-1}X_{22}X_{11} = -q^{-1} \\ (4') \quad & X_{11}X_{22} - q^{-1}X_{21}X_{12} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

(the last two coming from demanding that the group-like element $\det_q X$ take the value one). Equations (1')–(4') are readily generalized to $n > 2$.

3. THE GENERAL CASE $n > 2$

Let $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$ be the free matrix bialgebra in n^2 indeterminants $X = (X_{ij})$, i.e. the free algebra $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$ with $\Delta(X_{ik}) = \sum_j X_{ij} \otimes X_{jk}$ and $\varepsilon(X_{ik}) = \delta_{ik}$. For each $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [n]^n$, let D_I denote the sum

$$D_I = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi)} X_{\pi_1 i_1} X_{\pi_2 i_2} \cdots X_{\pi_n i_n}.$$

In the case $I = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ we drop the subscript and just write D for $D_{(1,2,\dots,n)}$. We call D the *quantum determinant*. These are the left-hand sides of equations (1')–(4'), generalized to $n > 2$.

Definition 1. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ be the $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra with generators z_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and relations $\mathcal{E}_I$ for $I \in [n]^n$ defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{E}_I) \quad z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_n} = \begin{cases} (-q)^{-\ell(I)} z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n & \text{if } I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This may be viewed as a subspace of the usual quantum exterior space. The next definition comprises the replacements for the usual quantum bialgebra $M_q(n)$ and Hopf algebra $SL_q(n)$.

Definition 2. The algebra $\tilde{M}_q(n)$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$ by the two-sided ideal E generated by elements E_I for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [n]^n$ defined by

$$(5) \quad E_I = D_I - \delta_{I, \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(I)} D.$$

The algebra $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$ is the quotient of $\tilde{M}_q(n)$ by the principal ideal $(D - 1)$.

Remark. Note that when $I = (1, 2, \dots, n)$, E_I reads $D - D$ so there are $n^n - 1$ relations defining $\tilde{M}_q(n)$ and n^n relations defining $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$. The images of the X_{ij} in the quotients form a linearly independent set of generators, so we abuse notation and write X_{ij} for the image of X_{ij} in the quotients. Similarly, we use the notation D_I for the images of the D_I defined above.

$\tilde{M}_q(n)$ has its relations defined in such a way as to make $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ a right comodule algebra, i.e., the right comodule structure map is an algebra homomorphism compatible with the relations $\mathcal{E}_I$. Arguing abstractly as in [9], one can show that E is the unique minimal (bi)ideal making $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ into a $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle/E$ -comodule algebra.¹ However, we consider it instructive, since it reveals the combinatorics in our situation, to show explicit calculations here (cf. Proposition 1).

Example. In the case $n = 3$, sending the E_I to zero (and D to 1) gives 27 relations for $\tilde{SL}_q(3)$ of the form $D_{(ijk)} = (-q)^{-\ell(ijk)} \cdot \delta_{(ijk), \mathfrak{S}_3}$. Among these are $6 = 3!$ relations saying some version of the quantum determinant equals one. For example, the two relations

$$(212') \quad \begin{aligned} & X_{12} X_{21} X_{32} - q^{-1} X_{12} X_{31} X_{22} - q^{-1} X_{22} X_{11} X_{32} + \\ & q^{-2} X_{22} X_{31} X_{12} + q^{-2} X_{32} X_{11} X_{22} - q^{-3} X_{32} X_{21} X_{12} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(132') \quad \begin{aligned} & X_{11} X_{23} X_{32} - q^{-1} X_{11} X_{33} X_{22} - q^{-1} X_{21} X_{13} X_{32} + \\ & q^{-2} X_{21} X_{33} X_{12} + q^{-2} X_{31} X_{13} X_{22} - q^{-3} X_{31} X_{23} X_{12} = -q^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

¹Compare the discussion of $N(W)$ in loc. cit., especially Proposition 3.3.

may be compared with (2') and (3') respectively to see the general picture.

We view the n^n relations defining $\tilde{SL}_q(n)$ as *reduction formulas* in the proof of Theorem 2 and apply Bergman's Diamond Lemma. For this, we need an ordering on all words in the generators compatible with multiplication. First, we order the generators in lexicographic order according to their subscripts; then for two words w_1, w_2 in the generators, say $w_1 < w_2$ if it is lower in the length + lexicographic order.

We return to Example 3 for illustration. Put $X_{11} < X_{12} < X_{13} < X_{21} < \dots < X_{33}$, and order the words in these generators as just described. Written as reduction formulas, equations (212') and (132') become

$$(212) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{32}X_{21}X_{12} = & q^3X_{12}X_{21}X_{32} - q^2X_{12}X_{31}X_{22} - q^2X_{22}X_{11}X_{32} + \\ & qX_{22}X_{31}X_{12} + qX_{32}X_{11}X_{22} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(132) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{31}X_{23}X_{12} = & q^3X_{11}X_{23}X_{32} - q^2X_{11}X_{33}X_{22} - q^2X_{21}X_{13}X_{32} + \\ & qX_{21}X_{33}X_{12} + qX_{31}X_{13}X_{22} + q^2 \end{aligned}$$

respectively (compare with (2) and (3)).

4. MAIN RESULTS

Proposition 1. *For $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ and $\tilde{M}_q(n)$, we have:*

1. $\tilde{M}_q(n)$ is a bialgebra with Δ and ε given by $\Delta X_{ik} = \sum_j X_{ij} \otimes X_{jk}$ and $\varepsilon(X_{ik}) = \delta_{ik}$.
2. $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ is a right comodule algebra for $\tilde{M}_q(n)$ with $\rho(z_i) = \sum_j z_j \otimes X_{ji}$.

Proof (1). We must check that the ideal E is a coideal, i.e., that for all $I \in [n]^n$: (i) $\varepsilon(E_I) = 0$ and (ii) $\Delta(E_I) \in E \otimes \mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle + \mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle \otimes E$. The verification of (i) is straightforward and is omitted.

Working in $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$, we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(D_I) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi)} \Delta(X_{\pi_1 i_1} \cdots X_{\pi_n i_n}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi)} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} X_{\pi_1 j_1} \cdots X_{\pi_n j_n} \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi)} X_{\pi_1 j_1} \cdots X_{\pi_n j_n} \right) \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} \\ &= \sum_{J=j_1, \dots, j_n} D_J \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} \\ &= \sum_{J \notin \mathfrak{S}_n} E_J \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} + \sum_{J \in \mathfrak{S}_n} E_J \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{J \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(J)} D \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} E_J \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} + \sum_{J \in \mathfrak{S}_n} D \otimes (-q)^{-\ell(J)} X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} E_J \otimes X_{j_1, i_1} \cdots X_{j_n, i_n} + D \otimes D_I. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, D is grouplike in $\tilde{M}_q(n)$, and thus (ii) follows easily from (6). $\square$

Proof (2). It is clear that ρ is a comodule map on $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)_{(1)}$, the $\mathbb{k}$ -subspace of $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ spanned by the generators z_i . Extending ρ by declaring it to be an algebra map, it is left to verify that it respects the relations $\mathcal{E}_I$ in $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$.

Suppose $I \in [n]^n$. We begin by computing $\rho(z_{i_1})\rho(z_{i_2})\cdots\rho(z_{i_n})$:

$$(7) \quad \rho(z_{i_1})\cdots\rho(z_{i_n}) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_n} \otimes X_{j_1 i_1} \cdots X_{j_n i_n}$$

$$(8) \quad = \sum_{J \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(J)} z_1 \cdots z_n \otimes X_{j_1 i_1} \cdots X_{j_n i_n}$$

$$(9) \quad = z_1 \cdots z_n \otimes D_I,$$

where, in moving from (7) to (8), we make use of the relations $\mathcal{E}_J$ to simplify the left tensor factors appearing in (7). Now in order for ρ to respect relation $\mathcal{E}_I$, we need $\rho(z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_n} - \delta_{I, \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(I)} z_1 \cdots z_n) = 0$. Using (9) above, it is clear that we need

$$z_1 \cdots z_n \otimes (D_I - \delta_{I, \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(I)} D) = 0,$$

which holds by construction, namely, $E_I \equiv 0$ in $\tilde{M}_q(n)$. $\square$

In the proof of Proposition 1, we saw that D is grouplike and $\rho(z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n) = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \otimes D$, cf. (6) and (9) respectively. It follows immediately that $\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$ is a bialgebra and that $\tilde{\Lambda}_q(n)$ is also a $\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$ -comodule algebra. It remains to define a map S on $\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$ and show that it is a left but not a right antipode. We begin by building an amenable basis for $\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$.

Write the n^n relations as reduction formulas, as illustrated earlier for $n = 3$. Call the n^n words appearing on the left side *reducible* words of length n :

$$(10) \quad X_{n i_1} \cdots X_{2 i_{n-1}} X_{1 i_n}.$$

A general word is *irreducible* if it does not contain one of these as a subword. Now, for each $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, it is plain to see that the monomial in (10) occurs in one and only one relation defining $\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$, namely the relation $D_I = (-q)^{-\ell(I)} \delta_{I, \mathfrak{S}_n}$. In particular, no reducible words appear on the right side of a reduction formula. Finally, there are no overlaps in the sense of the Diamond Lemma, i.e. no words $w = w_1 w_2 w_3$ with both $w_1 w_2$ and $w_2 w_3$ reducible. We conclude that the irreducible words (including the empty word “1”) form a basis for $\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$.

Toward the goal of defining S , the key observation is that

$$(\star) \quad \text{if } w = X_{i_1 j_1} \cdots X_{i_r j_r} \text{ is an irreducible word, then } \Delta w = \sum_k w_k \otimes w'_k \text{ (before any reductions of the new words } w_k, w'_k) \text{ has the property that all } w_k \text{ are irreducible.}$$

This follows from the form of the irreducible words.

Notation. Given any $m \times m$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, write $\det_q A$ for the expression $\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi)} a_{\pi_1 1} a_{\pi_2 2} \cdots a_{\pi_m m}$. Also, write A^{ab} for the submatrix built from A by deleting row a and column b .

Definition 3. Define a set map $S : X \rightarrow \tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n)$ by the adjoint matrix, $S(X) = \text{Adj}_q X$, i.e., $S(X_{ij}) = (-q)^{j-i} \det_q (X^{ji})$. Extend S to an element of $\text{Hom}(\tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n), \tilde{S}\tilde{L}_q(n))$ by

following the scheme in [6], i.e., for irreducible words $w = X_{i_1 j_1} \cdots X_{i_r j_r}$ with $r > 1$, put $S(w) = S(X_{i_r j_r}) \cdots S(X_{i_1 j_1})$.

Theorem 2. *The map S in Definition 3 gives $\tilde{\mathrm{SL}}_q(n)$ the structure of a left (but not a right) Hopf algebra.*

Proof. Thanks to property $(\star)$, it is a routine calculation to show that $m(S \otimes \mathbb{I})\Delta(w) = \varepsilon(w)1$, once S satisfies this property on the generators X_{ij} .

Working in $\tilde{\mathrm{M}}_q(n)$, we will show that $S(X) \cdot X = D \mathbb{I}_n$. This obviously proves half of the theorem. For the other half, we will show that $(\mathbb{I} * S)(X_{11}) = \sum_j X_{1j} S(X_{j1}) \neq 1$ in $\tilde{\mathrm{SL}}_q(n)$. In both halves, we will make use of the following notation:

For any $j \in [n]$, denote the permutations of $[n] \setminus j$ by $\mathfrak{S}_{[n] \setminus j}$. View the image $(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(j), \dots, \pi(n))$ of $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{[n] \setminus j}$ as an $(n-1)$ -tuple $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1})$. Extend ℓ to $\mathfrak{S}_{[n] \setminus j}$ in the obvious manner.

Claim: $\sum_j S(X_{ij}) X_{ji'} = \delta_{ii'} D$.

Writing the left-hand side out carefully, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j S(X_{ij}) X_{ji'} &= \sum_j (-q)^{j-i} \det_q(X^{ji}) X_{ji'} \\ &= (-q)^{n-i} \sum_j (-q)^{j-n} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{[n] \setminus j}} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi)} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. X_{\pi_1 1} \cdots X_{\pi_{i-1} i-1} X_{\pi_i i+1} \cdots X_{\pi_{n-1} n} \right) X_{ji'} \\ &= (-q)^{n-i} \sum_j \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{[n] \setminus j}} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1}, j)} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. X_{\pi_1 1} \cdots X_{\pi_{i-1} i-1} X_{\pi_i i+1} \cdots X_{\pi_{n-1} n} \right) X_{ji'}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\ell(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1}, j) = \ell(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1}) + \ell(1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n, j) = \ell(\pi) + n - j$. Also, since $\pi' = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1}, j)$ runs over all permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j S(X_{ij}) X_{ji'} &= (-q)^{n-i} \sum_{\pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{-\ell(\pi')} \times \\ &\quad X_{\pi'_1 1} \cdots X_{\pi'_{i-1} i-1} X_{\pi'_i i+1} \cdots X_{\pi'_{n-1} n} X_{\pi'_n i'} \\ &= (-q)^{n-i} D_{(1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n, i')} \\ &= (-q)^{n-i} \delta_{ii'} (-q)^{-\ell(1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n, i)} D = \delta_{ii'} D. \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $\sum_j X_{1j} S(X_{j1}) \neq 1$.

Here, the left-hand side is a linear combination of monomials of the form

$$X_{1j} X_{\pi_1 1} \cdots X_{\pi_{j-1} j-1} X_{\pi_j j+1} \cdots X_{\pi_{n-1} n}.$$

Each is a monomial of degree n which is not of the form (10). In particular, they, together with 1, form a linearly independent set in $\tilde{\mathrm{SL}}_q(n)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Bergman, ‘The diamond lemma for ring theory’, *Adv. in Math.* **29** (1978) 2, 178–218.
- [2] D. Foata, G.-N. Han, ‘A new proof of the Garoufalidis-Lê-Zeilberger quantum MacMahon master theorem’, *preprint* (2005).
- [3] S. Garoufalidis, T. T. Lê, D. Zeilberger, ‘The quantum MacMahon master theorem’, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (to appear).
- [4] J. A. Green, W. D. Nichols, E. J. Taft, ‘Left Hopf algebras’, *J. Algebra* **65** (1980) 2, 399–411.
- [5] N. Jacobson, ‘Some remarks on one-sided inverses’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1950), 352–355.
- [6] W. D. Nichols, E. J. Taft, ‘The left antipodes of a left Hopf algebra’, in: *Algebraists’ homage: papers in ring theory and related topics (New Haven, Conn., 1981)*, Vol. 13 of *Contemp. Math.* Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc., 1982, pp. 363–368.
- [7] S. Rodríguez-Romo, E. J. Taft, ‘Some quantum-like Hopf algebras which remain noncommutative when $q = 1$ ’, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002) 1, 41–50.
- [8] S. Rodríguez-Romo, E. J. Taft, ‘A left quantum group’, *J. Algebra* **286** (2005) 1, 154–160.
- [9] M. Takeuchi, ‘Matric bialgebras and quantum groups’, *Israel J. Math.* **72** (1990) 1-2, 232–251.

(Lauve) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843
E-mail address: `lauve@math.tamu.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.tamu.edu/~lauve`

(Taft) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY, 110
 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATWAY NJ, 08854-8019, USA
E-mail address: `etaft@math.rutgers.edu`